1	BEFORE THE ILLINOIS COMMERCE COMMISSION
2	ILLINOIS COMMERCE COMMISSION
3	<pre>IN RE:</pre>
4) 12-0086
5	PEOPLES GAS LIGHT AND) COKE COMPANY)
6 7	Complaint as to service in) Chicago, Illinois.)
8	Thursday, November 29, 2012
9	
10	Met, pursuant to notice, at 11:00 a.m.
11	Before: Administrative Law Judge Benn
12	APPEARANCES:
13	MR. JAMES GARNER (Appeared on behalf of Tina Turner.)
14	
15	SHEFSKY & FROELICH BY: SUSAN POLL-KLAESSY, ESQ.
16	111 EAST WACKER DRIVE, SUITE 2800 CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 60601
17	TEL: 312-840-4333
18	(Appeared on behalf of Peoples Gas Light and Coke Company.)
19 20	T A COURT DEPORTED II C l
21	L.A. COURT REPORTES, LLC by Tonja R. Jennings Bowman, Reporter
22	CSR #084-002995
	10

- JUDGE BENN: Pursuant to
- the direction of the Illinois Commerce Commission,
- and I'll call Docket Number 12-0086. This is Tina
- Turner versus Peoples Gas, Light and Coke Company,
- 5 a complaint as to the service in Chicago,
- 6 Illinois.
- 7 Can I have the Complainant --
- 8 Complainant's representative introduce himself for
- 9 the record?
- MR. GARNER: Oh. James Garner.
- JUDGE BENN: And your relation to Tina
- 12 Turner is?
- MR. GARNER: It's my wife.
- JUDGE BENN: As you sit
- here today, you actually are the one that's most
- familiar with the complaint and the account
- related to the complaint?
- MR. GARNER: Absolutely.
- JUDGE BENN:Okay. And counsel
- for Peoples Gas, Light and Coke Company?
- MS. POLL-KLAESSY: Susan Poll-Klaessy,
- P-O-L-L dash K-L-A-E-S-S-Y, on behalf of the

- 1 Respondent.
- JUDGE BENN: Okay. The parties did have
- a conference in an effort to try and settle this
- 4 matter and negotiate settlement. It's my
- ⁵ understanding both sides of the parties have reached
- an impasse and wish to proceed towards evidentiary
- ⁷ hearing. I am giving the Complainant, Tina Turner,
- 8 and by extension of James Garner, an additional and
- 9 final 21 days until December 20th, 2012 to file a
- 10 reply to the Verified Answer that was filed by the
- 11 Respondent in this matter.
- In addition, since this complaint
- will not be resolved prior to the one year in
- statutory requirement for filing of this
- complaint, I am asking the parties to file a joint
- waiver of the one-year statutory requirement prior
- to the evidentiary hearing, with our clerk's
- office.
- Finally, this matter has been set
- by agreement of the parties for evidentiary
- hearing --
- MR. GARNER: I would like to be heard.

- JUDGE BENN: You will be heard in one
- ² second.
- 3 (Continuing) -- will be set for
- evidentiary hearing on February 21st, 2013 at
- ⁵ 11:00 a.m.
- Is there anything for the record
- ⁷ from the Complainant?
- MR. GARNER: Yes. For the record, I
- 9 spoke to Ms. Poll-Klaessy, counsel for Peoples
- Gas, in the conference. And it was my
- understanding that she did not want to address the
- issue of the vexatious delay of -- in the refusal
- 13 to provide us with gas service in 2011. And she
- only wanted discuss future issues which are
- 15 irrelevant to these proceedings. And then she has
- represented that I denied an inspection to the
- meters. And I didn't respond to her, but I did
- 18 not deny it.
- What I said was, for the record,
- that Peoples Gas set up an inspection; and I
- cooperated with the inspection; and they got a
- reading at that time; and the only issue was that

- the inspector wanted to go throughout a four-unit
- property. And this was not agreed to by the gas
- 3 company when I spoke to Cassandra and the other
- 4 representatives. They told me that they just
- wanted a meter inspection, and that at that point
- they would restore the gas service reconnected to
- ⁷ the building, they would get a permit. And this
- 8 did not happen.
- 9 So I feel that I was lied to. And
- I am in no position to go forward without
- addressing what occurred in 2011 where my family
- was wrongfully denied gas, and we were told to
- freeze. And that's what their intentions were,
- and that's what they did. And so, I am here to
- 15 address the issues in my complaint. I made that
- clear to Ms. Poll-Klaessy in our conference that
- we had that's not in the presence of the judge.
- JUDGE BENN: Okay.
- MR. GARNER: I'd also like to --
- JUDGE BENN: Oh. Go ahead. And
- I'll let Ms. Poll-Klaessy respond;
- then I'll make a statement. Go ahead, sir.

- MR. GARNER: I'd also like to say that
- it has come to my attention that there may be a
- 3 conflict of interest between the firm of Shefsky
- 4 and Froelich in this matter in that I have had
- 5 recent dealings with another attorney from that
- firm, Ms. Karen Goodman.
- Is she an attorney in your firm?
- MS. POLL-KLAESSY: She is an attorney in
- 9 my firm.
- MR. GARNER: I'd like to say, for the
- record, that there may be a conflict of interest.
- And I don't know how that would be addressed. I
- guess I have to contact the lawyer to find out how
- that can be addressed. But that's all I have to
- say for the record at this point.
- JUDGE BENN: Okay. Thank you.
- Ms. Poll-Klaessy, do you have any
- response on the record?
- MS. POLL-KLAESSY: Yes. Yes, your
- Honor. Just that the Company's position is set
- forth in its Answer to Affirmative Defenses that
- was filed in this matter, addressed the

- 1 Complainant's allegations in his complaint, and
- Ms. Turner's complaint. We discussed those today;
- and they've been addressed in the Answer to
- ⁴ Affirmative Defenses as well.
- 5 This is the first time I'm hearing
- of the issue of with Ms. Goodman. Mr. Garner and
- Ms. Turner did not bring that up during our
- 8 discussion earlier.
- 9 I don't know what the relationship
- is between Mr. Garner and Attorney Goodman. So
- this is the first time hearing of that.
- JUDGE BENN: Okay. Mr. Garner, since
- you've raised the issue on the record, what is
- your relationship with the other attorney at the
- firm? Are they representing you, that you care
- to share?
- MR. GARNER: In -- Well, as I said
- earlier, I'm not sure of what the conflict of
- interest legally would be. Since I'm not an
- 20 attorney, I'm at a disadvantage. But I would like
- an opportunity --
- Before I say further on the record,

- 1 I'd like an opportunity to consult with an
- attorney to find out what role that -- what type
- of conflict interest that I'm dealing with by
- 4 having a relationship with Ms. Karen Goodman, and
- 5 now a relationship with Ms. Poll-Klaessy. And I
- don't know if there's a conflict or not. But I
- ⁷ think I need to -- before I say anything else on
- 8 the record, I need to have time to consult with an
- 9 attorney to find out if there is a conflict of
- interest that I must perhaps raise in my reply
- that's due on December the 20th, or in some other
- way, some other legal way. I don't know, because
- 13 I'm not an attorney.
- JUDGE BENN: Okay. For
- the record, in response to your last statement,
- you have leave to pursue the remaining litigation
- 17 in this complaint with an attorney, any attorney
- you'd like.
- The filing of the reply, and the
- timeline for filing the reply to the Verified
- 21 Answer remains the same.
- You may consider your potential

- conflict of interest with your attorney and
- include whatever is appropriate in your reply at
- 3 the time.
- Given that you've raised that on
- 5 the record, both sides will have an opportunity to
- investigate whether there is indeed a conflict of
- interest as you might have raised, and proceed
- 8 accordingly, given what is found out. So not only
- 9 do you have an opportunity to find out, but Ms.
- Poll-Klaessy has an opportunity to find out as
- well. And the parties can do with that what they
- wish, when they are proceeding towards this --
- MR. GARNER: I have another question.
- JUDGE BENN: One second.
- 15 (Continuing) -- when they proceed
- toward the finalization of this complaint.
- In addition, all the matters that
- have been stated on the record at this point are
- not sworn testimony and are not given any weight
- when we appear at the evidentiary hearing. So I
- just want you to understand you both made certain
- statements that carry no weight in my decision or

- 1 recommendation of admission after or before we
- have the evidentiary hearing take place on
- ³ February 21st, 2013 at 11:00 A.M.
- Is there anything else?
- MR. GARNER: Yes. Would I have an
- opportunity for discovery to make perhaps a
- 7 request or a motion for discovery from the gas
- 8 company?
- JUDGE BENN: I can't advise you on what
- motions you can file against the Company or the
- 11 Company can file against you in the interim.
- The parties are subject to any type
- of preparation for litigation; and that includes
- discovery. And that's between the parties outside
- the evidentiary hearings that's scheduled.
- MR. GARNER: So would I file that with
- the Commission?
- JUDGE BENN: I can't advise you, sir.
- MR. GARNER: Okay.
- JUDGE BENN: You are operating as your
- own attorney, or you'll have to seek counsel.
- MR. GARNER: All right.

- JUDGE BENN: Is there
- anything from you besides that that we need to
- discuss for the record, from Ms. Poll-Klaessy?
- MS. POLL-KLAESSY: Nothing.
- JUDGE BENN: Anything else?
- MR. GARNER: No, that will do it.
- JUDGE BENN: Thank you.
- 8 So then this matter will stand continued for
- 9 evidentiary hearing to February 21st, 2013 at
- 11:00 a.m. And you will each receive an ALJ
- 11 ruling and Notice of Continuance on the matter
- that enumerates what we've ruled on today on the
- 13 record.
- MR. GARNER: As far as that waiver is
- concerned, that's another question that I had.
- 16 I'm sorry. That wavier --
- JUDGE BENN: Waiver?
- MR. GARNER: Yeah, that wavier for the
- 19 12 months, what does that mean?
- JUDGE BENN: You filed your Complaint
- on February 11th, 2012. There is a statutory
- limitation to have the Commission address complaints

- which is 12 months from the date of filing.
- This matter has been set for several prehearing
- 3 conferences and continued a number of times by
- 4 agreement of the parties. And, as such, it was
- 5 continued to today, November 29th, you have --
- are approaching the statutory deadline to have
- ⁷ this case resolve completely before the
- 8 Commission. That is not going to take place now,
- 9 due to the timeline that has been set with this
- docket, and all the continuances.
- So in order for us to proceed,
- because your hearing will be after the statutory
- deadline, I am asking the parties to have a joint
- waiver of the statutory deadline of the one-year
- 15 requirement.
- MR. GARNER: I guess my question is, if
- 17 this goes beyond that statutory deadline, what
- 18 occurs?
- JUDGE BENN: The case can proceed without
- it. But because you all are both knowingly
- 21 proceeding past the statutory deadline, is why I
- am asking for it to be put on the record that

- this is by agreement of the parties. Because
- all these continuances, and things that have taken
- 3 place with your complaint have all been by
- 4 agreement of the parties. And now we are at
- ⁵ November 29, 2012.
- It's not possible to hold the
- ⁷ hearing prior to the statutory deadline and have
- your case resolved by the Commission, as it stands
- 9 today. So it will not be resolved by the one-year
- requirement, which is why I am asking the parties
- to jointly file a statutory waiver of the one-year
- requirement to resolve your complaint.
- MR. GARNER: I guess my question is,
- 14 well --
- JUDGE BENN: And what will happen if you don't?
- MR. GARNER: Yes.
- JUDGE BENN: We'll still
- proceed with the matter, because the parties have
- agreed to have an evidentiary hearing on
- ²⁰ February 21, 2013 at 11:00 a.m.
- MR. GARNER: Okay.
- JUDGE BENN: So nothing will happen if

- you do not or do. But because I want to be on
- the record with the fact that it is proceeding
- past the deadline, that's why I am requiring or
- ⁴ asking the parties to file the joint
- waiver of the requirement.
- MR. GARNER: Okay. But you say that's
- ⁷ not mandatory?
- JUDGE BENN: It's not.
- ⁹ And impliedly you are agreeing, because we've set
- this matter past the statutory deadline, you are
- agreeing to set it past the statutory deadline.
- MR. GARNER: Well, Ms. Poll-Klaessy
- brought that up. You know, I'm just -- Like I
- said, I'm not an attorney, I don't know what's
- going on. I am just --
- JUDGE BENN: Okay. Well,
- 17 let me ask you this, since we are on the record.
- Mr. Garner, are you in agreement
- that you are at an impasse with this case, based
- on negotiations you have had with the attorney;
- that you have no other way to resolve your
- complaint other than to hold an evidentiary

- 1 hearing; is that what you agree to?
- MR. GARNER: Well, you know, if
- 3 that's -- If that's the only way that you say that
- 4 this can proceed, you know, yeah. I mean,
- because, like I said, I don't know until I talk to
- 6 an attorney.
- I am going to try and meet with an
- 8 attorney as soon as I can and try and find out
- 9 what my rights are at this point in this
- proceeding. Because I've never been involved in a
- utility litigation like this before.
- JUDGE BENN: Okay.
- MR. GARNER: So I think I need to --
- JUDGE BENN: You've not lost any rights
- in your complaint, as it stands right now. The
- only thing that is in play right now is that this
- matter has been set past the one-year statutory deadline.
- Whether there is a waiver filed or
- not as I've asked, the matter will still proceed
- to evidentiary hearing. And you'll be given all
- the opportunity to have your issues heard.
- MR. GARNER: Okay.

- ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: It's my
- understanding, from the path this complaint has
- taken, that the parties have had several
- 4 opportunities to negotiate with counsel for
- 5 Peoples Gas, whether it was the prior counsel or
- 6 Ms. Poll-Klaessy; and that you are unable to
- 7 resolve your complaint right now; and that what
- 8 each side wishes is the matter to be heard in an
- 9 evidentiary hearing.
- Am I correct in saying that,
- Mr. Garner?
- MR. GARNER: Oh, absolutely.
- JUDGE BENN: And am I
- correct in saying that, Ms. Poll-Klaessy?
- MS. POLL-KLAESSY: Yes, your Honor.
- JUDGE BENN: The matter is moving
- forward to evidentiary hearing, and you
- will retain your rights to produce your evidence
- and your witnesses at the evidentiary hearing on
- ²⁰ February 21st, 2013 at 11:00 a.m.
- Since we are on the record of
- numerous times repeating that we're going past the

1	statutory deadline, I am still asking that you
2	file the joint waiver; however, it's not required
3	Okay. So the matter will stand
4	continued to evidentiary hearing by agreement of
5	the parties February 21st, 2013 at 11:00 a.m.
6	Thank you.
7	MR. GARNER: Thank you, Judge.
8	MS. POLL-KLAESSY: Thank you.
9	WHICH WERE ALL THE PROCEEDINGS
10	HELD ON THE ABOVE-MENTIONED DATE
11	IN THE ABOVE-ENTITLED MATTER.
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	